UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,607	03/24/2004	Pawel Oskar Matusz	1020.P18644	7219
57035 KACVINSKY I	7590 09/19/200 LLC	8	EXAM	INER
C/O INTELLEVATE P.O. BOX 52050			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
MINNEAPOLI	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2139	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/809,607	MATUSZ ET AL Art Unit 2139 e] AHY). N/A. o if an agreement sed differences bounder discussed in the consider the greed would render would render the consider the Y DAYS FROM TOWNICHEVER IS	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTIAN LAFORGIA	2139	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Christian LaForgia</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Andrew Aurand</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 September 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>USPN 6,996,712 (PERIOR</u>	<u>LMAN) & 2005/0013324 (LEA</u>	<u>HY)</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The representative for Application and the Perlman reference</u> . The representation and the Perlman reference and conduct an updated search upon receiving an application.	pplicant and Examiner discuss ntative for Applicant and Exam rence. The Examiner will furt	ed differences b niner discussed p	<u>etween the</u> oossible
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Christian LaForgia/			